# THIS IS THE PEER REVIEWED VERSION OF THE FOLLOWING ARTICLE:

Volpe, V., De Feo, G., De Marco, I., Pantani, R. "Use of sunflower seed fried oil as an ecofriendly plasticizer for starch and application of THIS THERMOPLASTIC STARCH AS A FILLER FOR PLA" Industrial Crops and Products Volume 122, 15 October 2018, Pages 545-552 DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.06.014

# WHICH HAS BEEN PUBLISHED IN FINAL FORM AT

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0926669018305156

THIS ARTICLE MAY BE USED ONLY FOR NON-COMMERCIAL PURPOSES

1	Use of sunflower seed fried oil as an ecofriendly plasticizer for starch and
2	application of this thermoplastic starch as a filler for PLA
3	V. Volpe*, G. De Feo, I. De Marco, R. Pantani
4	Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Salerno
5	Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy
6	
7	*Corresponding author: Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084
8	Fisciano (SA), Italy. Email address: vavolpe@unisa.it (V. Volpe)
^	

# 10 Abstract

The high cost of the Poly(lactic) Acid when compared to its durable competitors is a significant drawback 11 12 that inhibits its diffusion for many industrial applications. A common solution is the compounding with 13 other polymers that preserve biodegradability, and thermoplastic starch (TPS) is a conventional choice. The 14 innovation proposed in this work is to replace into the starch plasticization process part of the glycerol with 15 a sunflower seed oil resulting from the frying process in a fast food. The so plasticized TPS was compared to 16 the TPS plasticized with only glycerol and then mixed to the PLA. The replacement of a certain percentage 17 of glycerol with fried edible sunflower oil as plasticizer for starch results in an improvement in material 18 properties of the TPS and does not change the properties of the PLA/TPS blends. Plasticization of starch 19 with fried oil has been proven to be the most environmentally sound solution also from a Life Cycle 20 Assessment.

21

22 Keywords: Poly(lactic) acid, thermoplastic starch, sustainability

#### 24 **1. Introduction**

25 Biodegradable polymers are currently used as an alternative to durable polymers in many applications for 26 large consumption goods. The most widespread biodegradable polymer is poly(lactic) acid, PLA (De Santis 27 et al., 2017; Garlotta, 2001; Husarova et al., 2014; Lim et al., 2008; Sanyang and Sapuan, 2015; Volpe and Pantani, 2015). However, although presenting the successful property of being biodegradable, it presents 28 29 also the significant drawback of being relatively expensive when compared to its durable competitors (i.e. 30 polyolefins). One of the methods currently adopted by industries in order to mitigate the cost of the raw 31 material is to use a significant fraction of additives (De Santis and Pantani, 2015; Mohanty et al., 2000b; Yu 32 et al., 2006). In this case, a slight decrease in the properties of the part is generally considered an 33 acceptable side effect for the sake of having a cheaper product. Obviously, the property of being 34 biodegradable should not be harmed, and thus the filler should be biodegradable itself (Gorrasi and Pantani, 2018). 35

36 Several natural additives have been proposed as possible fillers for PLA. For instance, polymer-37 lignocellulose composites have been explored in recent times (Lee et al., 2008; Mohanty et al., 2000a). 38 Lignocellulose, in fact, is an inexpensive filler that increases material toughness and improve the thermal 39 stability by reducing tool wear (Way et al., 2012). However, the highly hydrophilic nature of the fibers often 40 needs compatibilisation to improve the polymer-fiber interface (Murariu and Dubois, 2016). Other natural 41 additives have been experimented in these years. Manshor et al. in 2014 presented a novel idea of utilizing durian skin waste as reinforcing fiber for PLA. They found that 30 wt% PLA can be replaced by durian skin 42 43 fibers without altering the impact strength, flexural modulus and thermal properties of the material with 44 respect to the unreinforced PLA (Manshor et al., 2014). In 2017 Kocaman suggested surface-modified 45 grinded coconut waste (CW) particles as bio-fillers to prepare polymeric composite materials with enhanced properties (Kocaman et al., 2017). 46

One of the most common biodegradable additives of the PLA is the Thermoplastic Starch (TPS), a renewable and biodegradable material very interesting because of its worldwide availability and its low cost (Tester and Karkalas, 2001). Different kinds of starches (wheat, corn, sorghum, yucca, potato, etc.) are

50 conventionally used to produce industrial TPSs. The poor processability because of higher melting point 51 compared to its decomposition temperature is the most important limiting factor for starch, which imposes 52 the use of plasticizers (glycerol, sorbitol, maltose, glucose, water, urea, citric acid etc.) (Ma et al., 2006; 53 Mahieu et al., 2015; Poutanen and Forssell, 1996; Shi et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2008; Teixeira et al., 2007). 54 Plasticizers reduce the tension of deformation, hardness, density, viscosity and electrostatic charge of a polymer, while increasing the polymer chain flexibility, resistance to fracture and dielectric constant 55 56 (Rosen, 1993). Glycerol and sorbitol are the most widely used polyol plasticizers for starch-based films due 57 to the close similarity between their chemical structures and the structure of starch polymer (Mali et al., 58 2005). Müller et al. (Muller et al., 2016) have recently studied component interactions, structure and 59 properties of blends prepared from poly(lactic) acid and thermoplastic starch plasticized with glycerol at 60 two different weight percentages. They found that blending of the two components results in 61 heterogeneous, two phase structures at all compositions. For this reason, they concluded that useful 62 materials can be produced from PLA and TPS only with the development of an appropriate coupling 63 strategy. Akrai et al. in 2016 (Akrami et al., 2016) synthesized a new compatibilizer based on maleic 64 anhydride grafted poly-ethylene glycol grafted starch to improve the compatibility of the PLA/TPS blends. They demonstrated that compatibilizer enhanced interfacial adhesion without to influence the 65 biodegradability behavior. In the same year Ferri et al. (Ferri et al., 2016) proposed a plasticization strategy 66 67 that involves the addition to glycerol of an environmentally friendly plasticizer derived from vegetable oils, 68 maleinized linseed oil (MLO), obtaining a remarkable compatibilizing effect. The possibility of adopting as a 69 plasticizer a mixture of glycerol and sorbitol with different percentages of sunflower seed oil was also recently explored (Vieira et al., 2011). It was shown that the presence of the oil not only increases the 70 71 barrier properties to water vapor, but also enhances the mechanical properties of the starch films. 72 Obviously, the use of a substance which is potentially useful for human nutrition can cause ethical problems. For this reason, in this work we decided to study the possibility of adopting fried sunflower seed 73 74 oil as a plasticizer. In particular, this oil is the waste of the frying process of a fast food. The use of this oil 75 can present the twofold advantage of reducing the cost of the plasticizer and reuse a waste product. Blends

76 of PLA and TPS plasticized with glycerol and fried sunflower seed oil were examined by rheological, thermal

77 and mechanical characterization. Furthermore, Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) study was carried out in order

- 78 to compare the environmental impacts related to the different plasticized starches' productions.
- 79
- 80

#### 2. Materials and methods 81

82 A corn starch powder C\* Gel 03401, supplied by Cargill, containing 75% amylose and 25% amylopectin was 83 plasticized with glycerol and edible sunflower seed oil, both raw and fried in a fast food. This latter was 84 filtered before any further use. The analysis on oils were carried out according to the procedures described 85 by Memoli et al. (Memoli et al., 2017). Table 1 shows features and composition of the two oils.

86

#### 87 Table 1

88 Features and composition of the raw and fried oil.

	Raw oil	Fried oil
Peroxides [meq O <sub>2</sub> /kg]	9.45	11.59
Acidity [g oleic acid/100 g oil]	0.14	0.59
Palmitic Acid [%]	6.56	7.93
Palmiticoleic Acid [%]	0.13	0.13
Stearic Acid [%]	3.27	4.73
Oleic Acid [%]	25.34	31.6
Linoleic Acid [%]	63.12	56.39

89

90 As expected, peroxides increase as a consequence of frying. Furthermore, the percentages of shorter fatty

91 acids increase. A Poly(lactic) Acid (PLA), Ingeo<sup>™</sup> Biopolymer 4043D, supplied by NatureWorks LLC (Minnetonka, MN, USA)
was adopted for producing blends with the previously tested thermoplastic starch. The adopted PLA has a
density of 1.24 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and weight average molecular weight of 10<sup>5</sup> g/mol.

95

96 2.1 Micro compounder

97 The plasticization of the corn starch powder with glycerol and edible sunflower seed oil was carried out by 98 a micro compounder with conical twin-screws Thermo Scientific Haake MiniLab II (Victoria, Australia), at a 99 temperature of 110 °C, a screw rotation of 100 rpm and a cycle time of 5 min. Table 2 shows the weight 100 percentage of starch, glycerol and edible sunflower seed oil, both raw (R) and fried (F), contained in the 101 produced blends, which are referred to considering oil/glycerol ratio (namely TPS 0.2 R stands for blend 102 containing an Oil/Glycerol ratio equal to 0.2, obtained by using Raw sunflower seed oil).

Larger oil/glycerol ratios with respect to 10/15, keeping the amount of starch close to 70%, could not be adopted due to solubility problems: overcoming this ratio led to difficulties in obtaining a homogenous TPS blend. This ratio can be thus considered as a limit for this kind of blends. Before mixing, the pellets of PLA and the corn starch powder were dried for 24 h under vacuum at a temperature of 45°C. The extruded materials were then kept dry under vacuum conditions.

# 109 Table 2

#### 110 TPS blends.

Blend	Oil/Glycerol	Starch	Glycerol	Raw sunflower oil	Fried sunflower oil
	ratio [-]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
TPS	0	70	30	-	-
TPS 0.2 R	0.2	70	25	5	-
TPS 0.2 F	0.2	70	25	-	5
TPS 0.5 R	0.5	70	20	10	-
TPS 0.5 F	0.5	70	20	-	10
TPS 0.7 R	0.7	75	15	10	-
TPS 0.7 F	0.7	75	15	-	10

111

112 TPS obtained as described above was then mixed to PLA by means of the same equipment, always keeping 113 the percentage of PLA at 70% in weight. In this case, temperature was set at 170 °C while the screw 114 rotation and the cycle time have not changed. Results obtained from PLA/TPS blends were compared with 115 the behaviour of the neat PLA.

116

#### 117 2.2 Compression molding

Amorphous films of thermoplastic starch (TPS) with an average thickness of 200 μm were obtained by compression molding using a Carver hydraulic press. In particular, the pellets and the extruded materials were preheated in the mold at 85 °C for 10 min and, then, under a pressure of 3500 bar for an holding time of 10 min.

122 PLA/TPS films were also produced by Carver hydraulic press at 170 °C with a preheating of 5 min and

123 compression at 3500 bar for 10 min. Before any test, the films were dried for 12 h under vacuum at 45°C.

124

125 2.3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

126 In order to analyze the behavior at high temperatures of the TPS and of the PLA/TPS blends, a 127 thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) by means of a Pyris Diamond TG/DTA from PerkinElmer (USA) was 128 performed. Each sample was kept in inert atmosphere by a continuous nitrogen flow at 25°C for 5 minutes 129 and then heated at the rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> from 25 °C to 600 °C.

130

#### 131 2.4 Mechanical Analysis

All films produced by compression molding were subjected to mechanical analysis in tension. In particular,
rectangular TPS films having a geometry of 10 mm x 5 mm x 200 µm were subjected to uniaxial tensile
elongation by a PerkinElmer DMA 8000, with a maximum load equal to 2 N and a load rate of 0.1 N/min.

The PLA/TPS blends were instead subjected to tensile tests by a dynamometer INSTRON 4301 equipped with a load cell of 100 N. The clamps distance was equal to 10 mm and the crosshead speed was set at 5 mm/min. The difference in the used techniques is due to the high brittleness of TPS film, which did not allow a reliable analysis by the dynamometer.

139

# 140 2.5 Rheological tests

A rheological characterization of the PLA/TPS blends was carried out by a Haake Mars II (Thermo scientific) rotational rheometer in an oscillatory dynamic mode with parallel plates configuration under a nitrogen atmosphere. The experiments were performed at 160 °C and 180 °C. Master curves of each PLA/TPS blend were then built at 160°C.

145

# 146 2.6 Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)

The measurements of molecular weight distribution were carried out by a Waters Breeze 2 HPLC system on neat PLA (both in form of pellet and extruded) and on the blends PLA/TPS 0.5 R and PLA/TPS 0.5 F. The samples were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at 58 °C and then the solution was filtered by means of a Chromafil PTFE 0.45 mm filter.

151

## 152 2.7 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

PLA/TPS blends were subjected to differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) by means of a differential scanning calorimeter DSC 822TM from Mettler Toledo Inc. The samples were heated from 25 to 200 °C at 5 °C/min, kept at 200 °C for 5 min to erase the previous thermal history and subsequently cooled to 25 °C at 5 °C/min. The same protocol was then repeated a second time. In order to assess the effect of the TPS on the crystallization kinetics of the PLA, the samples were also subjected to the following heat protocol:

- 158 from 25 °C to 170 °C at 10 °C/min;
- 159 at 170 °C for 5 min;
- 160 from 170 °C to 110 °C to 10 °C/min;
- 161 at 110 °C for 3 h.
- 162

# 163 2.8 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology is one of the most used tools for the evaluation of environmental performance of product, processes and services. LCA allows comparing different systems considering the consumption of resources as well as the emission of pollutants that may occur during their life cycle, which may include extraction of raw materials, production and processing of materials, packaging, transport, phase of use and, finally, end of life. LCA methodology consists of four steps: (1) goal and scope definition, (2) inventory analysis, (3) impact assessment and (4) improvement assessment.

The goal of this LCA study is to analyze and compare the environmental impacts related to the differentplasticized starches' productions. The chosen functional unit is 10 grams of plasticized starch.

Data were analyzed using SimaPro 8.0.5 software, whereas the Ecoinvent 3.1 database and primary data were used for the life cycle inventory, according to the reference standard for LCA (i.e., ISO 14040-14044).

The environmental impacts were evaluated with the ReCiPe 2008 H. This method combines a midpoint level approach (problem-oriented) with an endpoint approach (damage-oriented). Eighteen impact categories are considered at the midpoint level: climate change (CC), ozone depletion (OD), terrestrial acidification (TA), freshwater eutrophication (FE), marine eutrophication (ME), human toxicity (HT), 178 photochemical oxidant formation (POF), particulate matter formation (PMF), terrestrial ecotoxicity (TET), 179 freshwater ecotoxicity (FET), marine ecotoxicity (MET), ionising radiation (IR), agricultural land occupation 180 (ALO), urban land occupation (ULO), natural land transformation (NLT), water depletion (WD), metal 181 depletion (MD) and fossil depletion (FD).such as ozone depletion, agricultural land occupation, fresh water 182 depletion, fossil fuel depletion, etc. The hierarchist perspective (H) is based on the most common policy 183 principles concerning the time frame and other issues. At the endpoint level, most of these midpoint 184 impact categories have to be further converted and aggregated into the following three endpoint 185 categories: damage to human health (HH), damage to ecosystem (E) and damage to resources (R) 186 (Goedkoop et al., 2009).

187

## 188 3. Results and discussion

#### 189 *3.1 Thermoplastic starch*

190 The corn starch powder is commonly plasticized by small hydrophilic molecules, such as amino acids, 191 glycolic or polyols. Among these, the most commonly used is glycerol, substance rather expensive because 192 of the laborious production process. As mentioned above, the innovation proposed in this work is to 193 replace into the starch plasticization process part of the glycerol with a fried oil as result of a fast food, in 194 order to reduce the costs due to glycerol and simultaneously reuse a waste product. In particular, different 195 percentages of glycerol were replaced by raw and fried oil, in order to compare their plasticizing 196 capabilities. The properties of the so plasticized TPS were compared with those of the TPS plasticized only 197 with glycerol. The TPS produced by micro compounder were subjected to thermogravimetric analysis, in 198 order to evaluate the behavior of the TPSs at high temperatures. Figure 1 shows the weight reduction on 199 increasing temperature for all TPS blends (Fig. 1a), and the temperature corresponding to a weight loss of 200 25% (Fig. 1b) of the TPS blends with raw and fried oil at all the oil/glycerol ratios.



Fig. 1. TGA of the starch/glycerol/sunflower oil blends (a); temperature corresponding to a weight loss of 25% of the
 TPS blends with raw and fried oil at all the oil/glycerol ratios (b).

201

202

206 The TGA analysis showed main stages of thermal degradation. The first stage, observed between 50 and 207 250°C, can be attributed to the elimination of water, glycerol and other low molecular weight compounds. 208 The second stage of thermal degradation, at ~320 °C, represents the degradation of TPS components 209 amylose and amylopectin. The third stage corresponds to oil decomposition (Lendvai et al., 2017; Schlemmer et al., 2010). From Fig. 1b it is possible to observe that the temperature corresponding to a 210 211 weight loss equal to 25% increases with the oil/glycerol ratio. TPS with raw oil and TPS with fried oil show 212 the same behavior during heating. From thermogravimetric analysis, it is possible to conclude that the 213 starch plasticized with oil has a better stability at high temperatures compared to the starch plasticized only 214 with glycerol. This thermal stability is strictly dependent on the chemical structures of vegetable oils, and in 215 particular to the content of unsaturated fatty acid (Schlemmer et al., 2010).

216 200 µm thick films were subjected to uniaxial tensile elongation, from which elastic modulus and maximum 217 stress were evaluated. TPS with only glycerol presents a lower modulus than those with oil. The elastic 218 modulus increases with the oil/glycerol ratio until a maximum value, corresponding to oil/glycerol ratio 219 equal to 0.5 (Fig. 2a). The maximum stress presents a similar trend (Fig. 2b). It is also important to note that 220 TPS with fried oil present a larger modulus and a larger maximum stress with respect to TPS with raw oil.

It can therefore be concluded that the replacement of a percentage of glycerol with edible sunflower seed oil, especially fried, as a plasticizing for the starch results in an improvement in thermal stability and mechanical properties of TPS.





228

### 229 3.2 PLA/TPS

230 All PLA/TPS blends were analyzed by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in order to evaluate the molecular weight distribution of the different blends. Fig. 3 shows the curves obtained from the GPC 231 232 analysis. By comparing the data of the extruded PLA with the data of the different PLA/TPS blends, in which 233 the PLA has been subjected to the same extrusion process, it is possible to note a shift of the latter towards 234 lower molecular weight, symptom of a slight degradation of PLA due to the blending with TPS. Furthermore, it is important to note that the PLA/TPS plasticized with raw and fried oil have a slightly 235 236 narrower distribution compared to the PLA/TPS blend with only glycerol, as it is possible to appreciate also 237 from the polydispersity index. A possible explanation may be related to the presence of peroxides in the oil, 238 that stabilize the PLA by deactivating the catalyst residues (Maharana et al., 2009). This means that the 239 presence of oil to replace glycerol preserves the PLA, which therefore undergoes less degradation as result 240 of the blending with TPS. Moreover, no significant difference can be observed between the blend with raw oil and that with fried oil. 241





**Fig. 3.** GPC of neat PLA, extruded PLA and PLA/TPS blends with raw and fried oil/glycerol ratio 0.5.

- 244
- 245 Table 3

246 Average molecular weights and polydispersity index of neat PLA, extruded PLA and PLA/TPS blends with raw and fried

oil/glycerol ratio 0.5.

M <sub>n</sub> [Da]	M <sub>w</sub> [Da]	PDI [-]
73659	115427	1.57
66231	104850	1.58
40043	65478	1.64
45761	66655	1.46
43719	66037	1.51
	M <sub>n</sub> [Da] 73659 66231 40043 45761 43719	Mn [Da]         Mw [Da]           73659         115427           66231         104850           40043         65478           45761         66655           43719         66037

248

The differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) analysis made on each blend allowed to evaluate their melting temperature and glass transition temperature (Table 4). For both the characteristic temperatures, the PLA/TPS blends are positioned below the value of the neat PLA. Minor differences between the formulations with glycerol alone, with raw oil and with fried oil can be observed.

The effect of adding TPS to the PLA on the crystallization kinetics can be appreciated from the thermograms in Fig. 4, obtained during isotherm at 110°C. It is clear that the curves of extruded PLA and PLA/TPS (plasticized only with glycerol) have a very similar pattern. Blends with oil (PLA/TPS 0.5 F and PLA/TPS 0.5 R), instead, show a peak at longer times. Therefore, the addition of oil (both raw and fried) seems to slower 257 the crystallization kinetics of the material. This effect is not surprising: it is quite well known that 258 plasticization may have opposite effects on the crystallization behavior. This happens because a plasticizer 259 can act in reducing both the glass transition temperature and the equilibrium melting temperature 260 (Saeidlou et al., 2012). If the glass transition temperature depression is larger than the decrease in 261 equilibrium melting temperature, an increase of crystallization rate is normally found. If the opposite takes 262 place, a decrease in crystallization rate is measured. This is the condition of our blends, as also reported in 263 Table 4. The result reported in Fig. 4 suggests that sunflower oil acts differently from glycerol as a 264 plasticizer, as it was expected being completely different from a chemical point of view, but no differences 265 are found between raw and fried oil.

266

267 Table 4

268 Melting temperature and glass transition temperature of the PLA/TPS blends with raw and fried oil at all the 269 oil/glycerol ratios.

	Melting temperature [°C]	Glass transition temperature [°C]
Neat/Extruded PLA	150.2	60.3
PLA/TPS	145.8	57.3
PLA/TPS 0.2 R	145.2	56.2
PLA/TPS 0.2 F	146.3	57.3
PLA/TPS 0.5 R	145.2	56.6
PLA/TPS 0.5 F	145.8	55.9
PLA/TPS 0.7 R	147.5	55.8
PLA/TPS 0.7 F	146.0	56.0



271

Fig. 4. DSC experimental curves during isotherm at 110°C corresponding to neat PLA, extruded PLA and PLA/TPS blends with raw and fried oil/glycerol ratio 0.5 (b).

274

Also in this case, as for TPS, the blends were subjected to thermogravimetric analysis in order to evaluate their behavior at high temperatures. Curves in Fig. 5a show that the degradation of all the PLA/TPS blends starts at lower temperatures and ends at higher temperatures with respect to the neat and extruded PLA. Furthermore, it is possible to observe that at 200 °C, a commonly adopted processing temperature for PLA, the weight loss percentage decreases on increasing oil/glycerol ratio (Fig. 5b). In conclusion, a positive effect of oil on overall thermal stability of PLA/TPS blends is found, with an improved resistance to thermal degradation temperature as the oil/glycerol ratio increases.



Fig. 5. TGA of the PLA/TPS blends (a); weight percentage at 200°C of the PLA/TPS blends with raw and fried oil at all
the oil/glycerol ratios (b).



Fig. 6. Elastic modulus (a) and maximum stress (b) of the PLA/TPS blends with raw and fried oil at all the oil/glycerol
ratios.

291

287

288

The mechanical properties of the PLA/TPS blends were assessed by tensile tests. In Fig. 6 it is also possible to observe the elastic modulus (Fig. 6a) and the maximum stress of the blends (Fig. 6b) with raw and fried oil at all the oil/glycerol ratios. For all the PLA/TPS blends, values of both parameters were comparable and in some cases higher than the values obtained for neat and extruded PLA. In particular, the PLA/TPS blend with oil/glycerol ratio of 0.5 presents the largest value of maximum stress. In Fig. 7a the master curves at 160°C of the dependence of viscosity upon frequency is reported for all the materials. The rheological analysis showed that the addition of thermoplastic starch to the PLA results in a reduction in viscosity. This is known from the literature to be due to the plasticizer adopted for the starch: indeed, for blends of PLA with starch plasticized with different percentages of glycerol, it is reported (Jacquel et al., 2008) that the reduction of viscosity is larger for larger percentages of glycerol. In our blends, the viscosity reduction results to be similar on replacing the glycerol with oil. This suggests that sunflower oil is an effective replacement of glycerol from the rheological point of view.



Fig. 7. Complex viscosity vs shear rate (mastercurve at 160°C) (a) and Newtonian viscosity at 160°C (b) of the PLA/TPS
 blends with raw and fried oil at all the oil/glycerol ratios.

308

304

- 309 Table 5
- 310 Cross Model parameters.

	$\eta_{ref}$ [Pa s]	$\lambda_{ref}[s]$	n
Neat PLA	29000	0.145	0.31
Extruded PLA	21873	0.087	0.18
PLA/TPS	7342	0.026	0.36
PLA/TPS 0.2 R	6623	0.013	0.45
PLA/TPS 0.2 F	6373	0.011	0.35

PLA/TPS 0.5 R	4255	0.008	0.25
PLA/TPS 0.5 F	7267	0.009	0.16
PLA/TPS 0.7 R	5413	0.009	0.14
PLA/TPS 0.7 F	5711	0.013	0.15

312 The data shown in Fig. 7a were fitted by a Cross model (Eq. 1):

313

314

 $\eta = \frac{\eta_{ref}}{1 + (\lambda_{ref} \cdot \omega)^{1-n}} \tag{1}$ 

315

316 where  $\eta_{ref}$  is the Newtonian viscosity,  $\lambda_{ref}$  is a characteristic relaxation time (namely the reciprocal of the 317 shear rate at which the viscosity starts to decrease from the Newtonian plateau), and *n* is the flow index.

Table 5 shows the parameters of the Cross equation obtained by fitting the rheological data showed in Fig. 318 319 7a. As also shown in Fig. 7b, the Newtonian viscosity of the blends with oil is comparable to that of the 320 blend obtained only with glycerol. Furthermore, the replacement of certain percentages of glycerol with oil, 321 both raw and fried, results in a wider Newtonian plateau, as testified by the decrease of  $\lambda_{ref.}$  A decrease in 322 relaxation time can be ascribed to the presence of low molecular weight molecules which act as lubricants. 323 From this point of view, on the basis of the results reported in Fig. 7, it can be concluded that from a 324 rheological point of view, the partial replacement of glycerol with fried oil results in a more effective 325 lubrication, and no significant differences can be found between raw and fried oil.

- 326
- 327

328 3.3 Life cycle assessment (LCA)

329 The LCA analysis was performed comparing the impacts obtained for three different plasticized starches:

- 330
- PLA/TPS: starch (70 %) +glycerol (30 %);
- 331
- PLA/TPS 0.5 F: starch (70 %) + glycerol (20 %) + fried oil (10 %);

• PLA/TPS 0.7 F: starch (75 %) + glycerol (15 %) + fried oil (10 %).

333 The results at the midpoint level are reported in Fig. 8 (the numerical values were added in the 334 supplementary data).





Fig. 8. Comparison of the impacts, evaluated with the method ReCiPe 2008 H, at the midpoint level of the different
 plasticized starches per FU. The PLA/TPS blend is set to 100% in all the impact categories under analysis.

338

From Fig. 8 it is possible to observe that the substitution of part of the glycerol with fried oil generates a reduction of the impacts in terms of all the categories under analysis. Indeed, the solution PLA/TPS is always the most impactful one. Comparing the two solutions that use fried oil, we can note that the solution with fried oil/glycerol ratio equal to 0.5 is preferable in terms of human toxicity, marine ecotoxicity and metal depletion, whereas, on the other 15 categories, the solution with fried oil/glycerol ratio equal to 0.7 generates lower impacts.

345 Therefore, the three solutions were compared at normalized endpoint level, as reported in Fig. 9.





347 Fig. 9. Comparison of the impacts at the endpoint level of the different plasticized starches per FU.

348 From Fig. 9, it is clear that the most environmentally sound solution is the third one (higher fried 349 oil/glycerol ratio) on the three damage categories.

350 In order to understand which would be the impacts related to the usage of a vegetable raw sunflower oil,

351 the solutions were analyzed substituting the fried sunflower oil with a raw sunflower oil. The results are

352 reported in Fig. 10.



353

Fig. 10. Comparison of the impacts at endpoint level of the different plasticized starches obtained using fried and rawoil per FU.

356 It is evident that the use of raw oil is not recommended not only from an ethical point of view, but also357 from the environmental one.

#### 358 **4. Conclusions**

In this work, different percentages of glycerol were substituted by raw and fried sunflower seed oil into the starch plasticization process. The material thus compounded was thermally and mechanically characterized. The results showed that the replacement of a percentage of glycerol with edible sunflower seed oil, especially if fried, as a plasticizer for the starch results in an improvement in thermal stability and mechanical properties of the material. The elastic modulus and the maximum stress increases with the oil/glycerol ratio until a maximum value corresponding to an oil/glycerol ratio equal to 0.5.

In the second part of the work, the previously tested thermoplastic starches were adopted for producing
blends with a Poly(lactic) Acid. The different characterizations have led to the following results:

- the molecular weight of the PLA/TPS blends undergoes a slight decrease with respect to the neat
   PLA extruded through the same process, symptom of a slight degradation of the material. However,
   the presence of oil to replace glycerol preserves the PLA, which therefore undergoes less
   degradation as result of the blending with TPS;
- the presence of thermoplastic starch results in a slight decrease in molecular weight of the PLA.
   Furthermore, the PLA/TPS plasticized with raw and fried oil have a slightly narrower molecular
   weight distribution compared to the PLA/TPS blend with only glycerol, indicative of a lower
   degradation;
- at 200 °C, process temperature commonly adopted for PLA, the weight loss percentage of the
   PLA/TPS blends decreases with increasing oil/glycerol ratio;
- the mechanical properties of the PLA/TPS blends were comparable and in some cases better than
   the properties of neat and extruded PLA;
- the addition of thermoplastic starch to the PLA results in a reduction in viscosity. Furthermore,
   from a rheological point of view, the partial replacement of glycerol with fried oil results in a more
   effective lubrication, and no significant differences can be found between raw and fried oil.

- 382 Life Cycle Assessment study assesses that the plasticization of corn starch with fried edible sunflower seed
- 383 oil is the most environmentally sound solution, while the use of raw oil is not recommended not only from

an ethical point of view, but also from the environmental one.

385

# 386 5. Acknowledgments

- 387 The authors wish to thanks "AcciPizza" in Giffoni V. P. (SA) for providing raw and fried oil, the group led by
- 388 prof. M. Di Matteo at UNISA for the characterization of the oils, the group led by prof. G. Gorrasi for the
- help in characterizing the mechanical properties of the films.
- 390

# 391 References

- 392
- Akrami, M., Ghasemi, I., Azizi, H., Karrabi, M., Seyedabadi, M., 2016. A new approach in compatibilization of
   the poly(lactic acid)/thermoplastic starch (PLA/TPS) blends. Carbohyd Polym 144, 254-262.
- De Santis, F., Pantani, R., 2015. Melt compounding of poly (Lactic Acid) and talc: assessment of material
   behavior during processing and resulting crystallization. J Polym Res 22.
- Be Santis, F., Volpe, V., Pantani, R., 2017. Effect of Molding Conditions on Crystallization Kinetics and
   Mechanical Properties of Poly(lactic acid). Polym Eng Sci 57, 306-311.
- Ferri, J.M., Garcia-Garcia, D., Sanchez-Nacher, L., Fenollar, O., Balart, R., 2016. The effect of maleinized
   linseed oil (MLO) on mechanical performance of poly(lactic acid)-thermoplastic starch (PLA-TPS) blends.
   Carbohyd Polym 147, 60-68.
- 402 Garlotta, D., 2001. A literature review of poly(lactic acid). J Polym Environ 9, 63-84.
- Goedkoop, M., Heijungs, R., Huijbregts, M., De Schryver, A., Struijs, J., Van Zelm, R., 2009. ReCiPe 2008. A
  life cycle impact assessment method which comprises harmonised category indicators at the midpoint
  and the endpoint level 1.
- Gorrasi, G., Pantani, R., 2018. Hydrolysis and Biodegradation of Poly(lactic acid). Adv. Polym. Sci. 279, 119 151
- Husarova, L., Pekarova, S., Stloukal, P., Kucharczyk, P., Verney, V., Commereuc, S., Ramone, A., Koutny, M.,
  2014. Identification of important abiotic and biotic factors in the biodegradation of poly(L-lactic acid).
  Int J Biol Macromol 71, 155-162.
- Jacquel, N., Lo, C.W., Wei, Y.H., Wu, H.S., Wang, S.S., 2008. Isolation and purification of bacterial poly (3 hydroxyalkanoates). Biochem Eng J 39, 15-27.
- Kocaman, S., Karaman, M., Gursoy, M., Ahmetli, G., 2017. Chemical and plasma surface modification of
  lignocellulose coconut waste for the preparation of advanced biobased composite materials. Carbohyd
  Polym 159, 48-57.
- Lee, S.Y., Kang, I.A., Doh, G.H., Yoon, H.G., Park, B.D., Wu, Q.L., 2008. Thermal and mechanical properties of
  wood flour/talc-filled polylactic acid composites: Effect of filler content and coupling treatment. J
  Thermoplast Compos 21, 209-223.
- Lendvai, L., Apostolov, A., Karger-Kocsis, J., 2017. Characterization of layered silicate-reinforced blends of
   thermoplastic starch (TPS) and poly(butylene adipate-co-terephthalate). Carbohyd Polym 173, 566-572.

- Lim, L.T., Auras, R., Rubino, M., 2008. Processing technologies for poly(lactic acid). Prog Polym Sci 33, 820-852.
- Ma, X.F., Yu, J.G., Wan, J.J., 2006. Urea and ethanolamine as a mixed plasticizer for thermoplastic starch.
  Carbohyd Polym 64, 267-273.

Maharana, T., Mohanty, B., Negi, Y.S., 2009. Melt-solid polycondensation of lactic acid and its
biodegradability. Prog Polym Sci 34, 99-124.

- Mahieu, A., Terrie, C., Youssef, B., 2015. Thermoplastic starch films and thermoplastic
   starch/polycaprolactone blends with oxygen-scavenging properties: Influence of water content. Ind
   Crop Prod 72, 192-199.
- Mali, S., Sakanaka, L.S., Yamashita, F., Grossmann, M.V.E., 2005. Water sorption and mechanical properties
   of cassava starch films and their relation to plasticizing effect. Carbohyd Polym 60, 283-289.
- Manshor, M.R., Anuar, H., Aimi, M.N.N., Fitrie, M.I.A., Nazri, W.B.W., Sapuan, S.M., El-Shekeil, Y.A., Wahit,
   M.U., 2014. Mechanical, thermal and morphological properties of durian skin fibre reinforced PLA
   biocomposites. Mater Design 59, 279-286.
- Memoli, A., Albanese, D., Esti, M., Lombardelli, C., Crescitelli, A., Di Matteo, M., Benucci, I., 2017. Effect of
  bug damage and mold contamination on fatty acids and sterols of hazelnut oil. Eur Food Res Technol
  243, 651-658.
- 438 Mohanty, A.K., Khan, M.A., Hinrichsen, G., 2000a. Surface modification of jute and its influence on 439 performance of biodegradable jute-fabric/Biopol composites. Compos Sci Technol 60, 1115-1124.
- 440 Mohanty, A.K., Misra, M., Hinrichsen, G., 2000b. Biofibres, biodegradable polymers and biocomposites: An
   441 overview. Macromol Mater Eng 276, 1-24.
- Muller, P., Bere, J., Fekete, E., Moczo, J., Nagy, B., Kallay, M., Gyarmati, B., Pukanszky, B., 2016.
  Interactions, structure and properties in PLA/plasticized starch blends. Polymer 103, 9-18.
- 444 Murariu, M., Dubois, P., 2016. PLA composites: From production to properties. Adv Drug Deliver Rev 107,
  445 17-46.
- Poutanen, K., Forssell, P., 1996. Modification of starch properties with plasticizers. Trends Polym Sci 4, 128132.
- 448 Rosen, S.L., 1993. Fundamental principles of polymeric materials, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- Saeidlou, S., Huneault, M.A., Li, H.B., Park, C.B., 2012. Poly(lactic acid) crystallization. Prog Polym Sci 37,
  1657-1677.
- Sanyang, M.L., Sapuan, S.M., 2015. Development of expert system for biobased polymer material selection:
   food packaging application. J Food Sci Tech Mys 52, 6445-6454.
- Schlemmer, D., Angelica, R.S., Sales, M.J.A., 2010. Morphological and thermomechanical characterization of
   thermoplastic starch/montmorillonite nanocomposites. Compos Struct 92, 2066-2070.
- Shi, R., Zhang, Z.Z., Liu, Q.Y., Han, Y.M., Zhang, L.Q., Chen, D.F., Tian, W., 2007. Characterization of citric
  acid/glycerol co-plasticized thermoplastic starch prepared by melt blending. Carbohyd Polym 69, 748755.
- Tang, X.Z., Alavi, S., Herald, T.J., 2008. Effects of plasticizers on the structure and properties of starch-clay
   nanocomposite films. Carbohyd Polym 74, 552-558.
- Teixeira, E.M., Da Roz, A.L., Carvalho, A.J.F., Curvelo, A.A.S., 2007. The effect of glycerol/sugar/water and
  sugar/water mixtures on the plasticization of thermoplastic cassava starch. Carbohyd Polym 69, 619624.
- 463 Tester, R.F., Karkalas, J., 2001. The effects of environmental conditions on the structural features and 464 physico-chemical properties of starches. Starch-Starke 53, 513-519.
- Vieira, M.G.A., da Silva, M.A., dos Santos, L.O., Beppu, M.M., 2011. Natural-based plasticizers and
  biopolymer films: A review. Eur Polym J 47, 254-263.
- Volpe, V., Pantani, R., 2015. Foam injection molding of poly(lactic) acid: Effect of back pressure on
   morphology and mechanical properties. J Appl Polym Sci 132.
- Way, C., Dean, K., Wu, D.Y., Palombo, E., 2012. Biodegradation of sequentially surface treated
  lignocellulose reinforced polylactic acid composites: Carbon dioxide evolution and morphology. Polym
  Degrad Stabil 97, 430-438.

Yu, L., Dean, K., Li, L., 2006. Polymer blends and composites from renewable resources. Prog Polym Sci 31,
576-602.